



Formalization Representation

Basic Algorithms

## Search

School of Computing and Data Science



Formalization

epresentation

Basic Algorithms

# **Formalizing Problem Solving**

**State**: hypothetical world state **Operations**: actions that modify world **Goal**: desired state or test

The major features of the program that are worthy of discussion are:

- 1. The recursive nature of its problem-solving activity.
- 2. The separation of problem content from problemsolving technique as a way of increasing the generality of the program.
- 3. The two general problem-solving techniques that now constitute its repertoire: means-ends analysis, and planning.

Newell, Shaw, and Simon: "Report On A General Problem-Solving Program", 1959



Search Formalization Representation

Basic Algorithms

# Representation

## SampleWorld: first assignment SW state space SW search space



### Basic Algorithms

DFS BFS Uniform-Cost Comparisons Requirements Search Search Tradeo IDS

#### Runtime

## **Basic Algorithms**

School of Computing and Data Science



## \_-First Search

### Search

### Basic Algorithms

### DFS

- BFS Uniform-Cost Comparisons Requirements Search
- Search Tradeo
- IDS Runtime

- 1:  $Open \leftarrow$  ordered list containing initial state
- 2: while true do
- 3: **if** *Open* is empty **then**
- 4: **return** failure
- 5:  $Node \leftarrow Open.Pop()$
- 6: **if** *Node* is goal **then**
- 7: **return** *Node* (or path to *Node*)
- 8: **else**
- 9:  $Children \leftarrow Expand(Node)$
- 10: Add *Children* to front of *Open*



### Basic Algorithms

#### DFS

BFS Uniform-Cost Comparisons Requirements Search Search Tradeoffs IDS

Runtime

# **DFS Evaluation**

## Assume branching factor b and solution depth d

Completeness: Time: Space: Admissibility:



Search Basic Algorithms

RES

# **Breadth-First Search**

- 1:  $Open \leftarrow$  ordered list containing initial state
- 2: while true do
- 3: **if** *Open* is empty **then**
- 4: return failure
- 5:  $Node \leftarrow Open.Pop()$
- 6: **if** *Node* is goal **then**
- 7: **return** *Node* (or path to *Node*)
- 8: **else**
- 9:  $Children \leftarrow Expand(Node)$
- 10: Add *Children* to end of *Open*



# **BFS Evaluation**

### Search Basic

## Algorithms

#### BFS

Uniform-Cost Comparisons Requirements Search Search Tradeoffs IDS Runtime

Assume branching factor b and solution depth d

Completeness: Time: Space: Admissibility:

School of Computing and Data Science



- Basic Algorithms DFS BFS Uniform-Cost Comparisons Requirements Search Search Tradeoffs
- IDS

## **Uniform-Cost Search**

- 1:  $Open \leftarrow$  priority queue containing initial state
- 2: while true do
- 3: **if** *Open* is empty **then**
- 4: return failure
- 5:  $Node \leftarrow Open.Pop()$
- 6: **if** *Node* is goal **then**
- 7: **return** *Node* (or path to *Node*)
- 8: **else**
- 9:  $Children \leftarrow Expand(Node)$
- 10: Add *Children* to *Open*, sorted by path cost



- Basic Algorithms DFS BFS Uniform-Cost
- Comparisons Requirements Search Search Tradeoffs IDS Runtime

Check for cycles with *Node*'s ancestorsMaintain closed list to detect duplicates



# Comparisons

#### Search

### Basic Algorithms

	Algorithm	Time	Space	Complete	Admissible
Comparisons	DFS	$b^m$	bm	if $m \ge d$	no
Requirements Search Search Tradeoffs	BFS	$b^d$	$b^d$	yes	if ops cost 1
IDS Runtima	Uniform-cost	$b^d$	$b^d$	yes	yes

## *b*: branching factor *d*: solution depth

*m*: maximum explored depth



Basic Algorithms DFS BFS

Comparisons

Requirements

Search Search Tradeoffs IDS Runtime **Resource Requirements for Solutions** 

## Assume b = 10,100k nodes/sec, 100 bytes/node

Sol. depth	Nodes	Time	Space
1	11	.11 ms	1.1Kb
2	111	1.1 ms	11Kb
4	11, 111	.11 sec	1Mb
6	$10^{6}$	11 sec	111Mb
8	$10^{8}$	18 min	11Gb
10	$10^{10}$	31 hours	1Tb
12	$10^{12}$	128 days	111Tb
14	$10^{14}$	35 years	11Pb



Basic Algorithms DFS BFS Uniform-Cost Comparisons Requirements Search Search

IDS Runtime

## **Search Tradeoffs**

## BFS is complete and admissible, but uses $b^d$ space

DFS uses *bd* space, but is not admissible and only complete if m > d

How can we get the best of both algorithms?



- Basic Algorithms
- DFS
- BFS
- Uniform-Cost
- Comparisons
- Requiremen
- Search
- Search Tradeoffs
- IDS
- Runtime

# **Iterative Deepening Search**

- 1: for d = 1 to  $\infty$  do
- 2: DFS to level d
- 3: **if** DFS succeeds **then**
- 4: **return** solution



Basic Algorithms DFS BFS Uniform-Cost Comparisons Requirements

Search Tradeot

IDS Runtime

# **IDS Evaluation**

### Assume branching factor b and solution depth d

Completeness: Time: Space: Admissibility:



## Runtime

Search

Basic Algorithms DFS BFS Uniform-Cost Comparisons Requirements Search Search Search IDS Runtime

How can this be efficient? This generates nodes near the start many times.

Intuition: Because of branching, most of the generated nodes are at the same depth as the goal node.

generated nodes =  $b^d + 2b^{d-1} + \dots + (d-1)b^2 + db$ 

School of Computing and Data Science